SARS-COV-2 and the subsequent development of preeclampsia and preterm birth: evidence of a dose response relationship supporting causality

Jonathan Lai, MD, Roberto Romero, MD, DMedSci., Adi L. Tarca, PhD, Stamatina Iliodromiti, MD, Anoop Rehal, MD, Anita Banerjee, MD, Christina Yu, MD, Gergana Peeva, MD, Vadivu Palaniappan, MD, Linda Tan, MD, Mahishee Mehta, MD, Kypros H. Nicolaides, MD



PII: S0002-9378(21)00947-9

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2021.08.020

Reference: YMOB 14013

To appear in: American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Received Date: 11 August 2021

Revised Date: 18 August 2021

Accepted Date: 19 August 2021

Please cite this article as: Lai J, Romero R, Tarca AL, Iliodromiti S, Rehal A, Banerjee A, Yu C, Peeva G, Palaniappan V, Tan L, Mehta M, Nicolaides KH, SARS-COV-2 and the subsequent development of preeclampsia and preterm birth: evidence of a dose response relationship supporting causality, *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* (2021), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2021.08.020.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Published by Elsevier Inc.

3	birth: evidence of a dose response relationship supporting causality
4	
5 6 7 8	Jonathan LAI, MD, <sup>1</sup> Roberto ROMERO, MD, DMedSci. <sup>2</sup> , Adi L. TARCA, PhD, <sup>2</sup> Stamatina ILIODROMITI, MD, <sup>3</sup> Anoop REHAL, MD, <sup>4</sup> Anita BANERJEE, MD, <sup>5</sup> Christina YU, MD, <sup>6</sup> Gergana PEEVA, MD, <sup>7</sup> Vadivu PALANIAPPAN, MD, <sup>8</sup> Linda TAN, MD, <sup>9</sup> Mahishee MEHTA, MD, <sup>10</sup> Kypros H. NICOLAIDES, MD, <sup>1</sup>
9	
10	1. Fetal Medicine Research Institute, King's College Hospital, London, UK
11	2. Perinatology Research Branch, Division of Obstetrics and Maternal-Fetal Medicine,
12	Division of Intramural Research, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child
13 14	Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, US Department of Health and Human Services, Bethesda, MD and Detroit, MI, USA
21	3. Centre for Women's Health, Institute of Population Health, Queen Mary University
22	London, London, UK
23	4. Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Birmingham Heartlands Hospital,
24	Birmingham, West Midlands, UK.
25	5. Women's Services Department, St Thomas' Hospital, London, UK.
26	6. Department of Fetal Medicine, St Mary's Hospital, Imperial College NHS Trust,
27	London, UK.
28	7. Department of Fetal Medicine, Homerton Hospital, London, UK
29	8. Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, London, UK
30	9. Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Lewisham Hospital, London, UK
31	10. Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Northwick Park Hospital, London, UK
32	
33	Disclosure: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
34	Financial supports. This response was supported by a grant from the Estal Madising
35 36	<u>Financial support</u> : This research was supported by a grant from the Fetal Medicine Foundation (Charity No: 1037116).
37	roundation (chanty No. 1037 110).
38	This research was supported, in part, by the Perinatology Research Branch, Division of
39	Obstetrics and Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Division of Intramural Research, Eunice
40	Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National
41	Institutes of Health, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
42	(NICHD/NIH/DHHS); and, in part, with Federal funds from NICHD/NIH/DHHS under Contract No. HHSN275201300006C.
43 44	
45	Disclaimer: Dr. Romero has contributed to this work as part of his official duties as an
46	employee of the United States Federal Government.
47	

# SARS-COV-2 and the subsequent development of preeclampsia and preterm

## **RESEARCH LETTER**

1

#### 48

- 49 <u>Corresponding author</u>
- 50 Roberto Romero, MD, DMedSci
- 51 Perinatology Research Branch, NICHD/NIH/DHHS
- 52 Hutzel Women's Hospital
- 53 3990 John R, Box # 4, Detroit, MI 48201
- 54 Telephone: +1 313 993 2700
- 55 Fax: +1 313 993 2694
- 56 E-mail: prbchiefstaff@med.wayne.edu
- 57
- 58

## 59 <u>Word Count</u>: 1536

61 <u>Short Title</u>: SARS-CoV-2, preeclampsia, and preterm birth

62

- 63 <u>Condensation</u>: The more severe COVID-19, the greater the risk of preeclampsia and
- 64 preterm birth.
- 65
- 66 Reprints will not be available.
- 67

### 68 BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVE

Pregnant women affected with the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 69 (SARS-CoV-2) have a worse clinical outcome than non-pregnant women, including higher 70 71 risk for admission to the intensive care unit, use of invasive mechanical ventilation, need for extra corporeal membrane oxygenation, and death than non-pregnant women with 72 SARS-CoV-2. In addition, SARS-CoV-2 infection is a risk factor for fetal death and 73 preterm birth. Early during the COVID-19 pandemic, a preeclampsia-like syndrome was 74 reported in pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2.<sup>1</sup> This association has been confirmed by 75 case series<sup>2</sup> and systematic reviews and meta-analyses.<sup>3</sup> An important issue is whether 76 COVID-19 causes preeclampsia. One of the Bradford Hill criteria to assess causality is 77 the existence of a dose response relationship between an exposure and the outcome of 78 79 interest; in this case, the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection and the likelihood of preeclampsia and this study was conducted to address this question. 80

81

#### 82 STUDY DESIGN

A retrospective observational study was conducted based on data from 14 National Health Service (NHS) maternity hospitals in the UK, to assess the effects of SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnancy. Institutions are listed in the footnote of Supplementary Table 1. This study was considered exempt of IRB review by the NHS Health Research Authority.

At each participating site, the electronic patient records were reviewed to identify patients for a diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 in pregnant women based on a positive PCR test between 1st February 2020 and 1st May 2021. Maternal demographic characteristics and medical history, pregnancy outcomes (i.e. livebirth or pregnancy

loss, gestational age at delivery, birthweight, hypertensive disease in pregnancy and
dates of onset) were obtained from the hospital databases.

Individual patient records were reviewed for relevant information about SARS-CoV-2 94 infection and classified into four groups according to severity based on a modified 95 spectrum used by the NIH. First, asymptomatic, includes individuals who test positive 96 97 for SARS-CoV-2 but who have no symptoms. Second, *mild* illness, includes individuals who have any of the various signs and symptoms of COVID-19 (such as fever, cough, 98 sore throat, malaise, headache, muscle pain, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, loss of taste 99 100 and smell) but who do not have shortness of breath, dyspnea, or abnormal chest imaging. Third, moderate illness, includes individuals who show evidence of lower 101 respiratory disease during clinical assessment or imaging and who have an oxygen 102 saturation (SpO2) ≥94% on room air. Last, severe illness, includes individuals who 103 require high dependency or intensive care secondary to respiratory impairment/failure 104 or multiorgan dysfunction. 105

The primary outcome was the occurrence of preeclampsia in patients exposed to SARS-CoV-2. Other outcomes examined included preterm birth and gestational age at delivery. Preeclampsia was defined as hypertension (blood pressure  $\geq$ 140 mHg /  $\geq$ 90 mmHg) developing after 20 weeks' gestation in a previously normotensive woman or chronic hypertension and development of new onset proteinuria ( $\geq$ 300 mg/24h or protein to creatinine ratio >30 mg/mmoL or >2 + on dipstick testing).

The effect of the severity of infection with SARS-CoV-2 defined as a four group factor (asymptomatic, mild, moderate, or severe) on the rate of preeclampsia and preterm preeclampsia was assessed using robust Poisson regression models using the

geepack package in the R statistical language and environment (www.r-project.org). 115 The asymptomatic group was used as reference, and the model included adjustment 116 for the prior risk of preeclampsia (log thereof), as defined based on maternal 117 characteristics and medical history using a competing risk model.<sup>4</sup> We also compared 118 the risk of preeclampsia in the combined group of moderate and severe COVID-19 119 120 patients against the risk in the group of asymptomatic and mild disease. The effect of the severity of infection with SARS-CoV-2 on preterm birth (<37 weeks) was evaluated 121 while adjusting for maternal age, weight, height, race, method of conception, chronic 122 hypertension, smoking and diabetes. The selection of these variables was performed 123 by backward elimination. A chi-square test for trend was used to test the dose response 124 relationship between the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection and preeclampsia/preterm 125 birth. 126

127

128 RESULTS

The characteristics of patients included in this study (n=1223) are presented in 129 130 **Supplementary Table 1**. Of these, 51 (4.2%) had preeclampsia, 16 (1.3%) miscarriages, 10 (0.81%) fetal deaths, and 215 (17.6%) had preterm birth. Women with severe COVID-131 19 tended to be older and had higher body mass index (p<0.05 for both) (Supplementary 132 Table 1). Of the 51 cases of preeclampsia, 21 were diagnosed before SARS-CoV-2 133 134 infection, seven were diagnosed at the same gestational age and 23 were diagnosed after SARS-CoV-2 infection. The 21 cases of preeclampsia diagnosed before SARS-CoV-135 2 infection were removed from further analysis. The median interval from SARS-CoV-2 136 infection to the diagnosis among the 23 cases of preeclampsia diagnosed after SARS-137

138 CoV-2 was 16 days (interquartile range 7-61 days). Among the 30 cases included in the 139 analysis, 13 had preterm preeclampsia (<37 weeks) and 17 had term preeclampsia.

140 The prior risk of preeclampsia in a cohort of patients with comparable risk factors as those of the study population was about 1% (Figure 1A). The observed rate of preeclampsia, 141 after excluding cases diagnosed before SARS-CoV-2 infection, was higher than 142 expected: 1.9% in asymptomatic patients, 2.2% in patients with mild COVID-19, 5.7% 143 with moderate and 11.1% among patients with severe disease (Figure 1A). This 144 monotonic relationship between the severity of COVID-19 and the risk of developing 145 preeclampsia was statistically significant (chi-square test for trend; p=0.0017). We then 146 compared the risk of preeclampsia between asymptomatic patients (reference group) and 147 148 those with COVID-19 symptoms while adjusting for differences in the prior risk of 149 preeclampsia as determined by the competing risk model. Severe COVID-19 disease was 150 associated with a higher risk of preeclampsia [aRR=4.9(1.56-15.38)]. There was also 151 higher risk for patients with moderate or severe COVID-19 diagnosis compared to those with asymptomatic or mild disease [aRR= 3.3(1.48-7.38)]. 152

Since others have proposed that preeclampsia predisposes to COVID-19, we also assessed this hypothesis within our dataset. We included in this analysis all women who developed preeclampsia before SARS-Cov2 and those who did not develop preeclampsia. We found a trend towards an increased risk of developing moderate or severe COVID-19 after a diagnosis of preeclampsia [unadjusted RR=2.28(0.92-5.61) (p=0.07), adjusted RR= 1.96 (0.8-4.84) (p=0.14)].

We also examined the relationship between the severity of COVID-19 and the rate of 160 preterm birth excluding from the data set those who did not have a live birth (n=1162). The 161 rate of preterm birth was 11.7% in asymptomatic patients, 12.8% in patients with mild 162 COVID-19, 29.9% in patients with moderate COVID-19 and 69.4% in patients with severe 163 COVID-19 (Figure 1B). Similarly, the risk of preterm birth increased as function of the 164 severity of SARS-CoV-2 (chi-square for trend, p<0.0001). Compared to asymptomatic 165 patients, women with moderate and severe disease had a higher risk of preterm birth 166 [moderate; aRR=2.47(1.61-3.78) and severe; aRR=5.64(4.09-7.79)]. Moreover, there was 167 a dose response relationship between gestational age at delivery and the severity of SARS-168 CoV-2 infection. (Figure 1C). The mean gestational age at delivery was significantly earlier 169 in women with moderate and severe SARS-CoV-2 infection than in those who were 170 asymptomatic (asymptomatic: 38.7, moderate 37.5, severe 33 weeks, p<0.001 for both 171 comparisons). The risk of moderate (32 - <37 weeks), very preterm (28-<32 weeks) and 172 extreme preterm birth (<28 weeks) increased as a function of the severity of SARS-CoV-2 173 infection (chi-square for trend, p<0.0001 for each, Figure 1D). 174

175

#### 176 CONCLUSION

The principal finding is that there is a dose response relationship between the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection and the risk of subsequent development of preeclampsia and preterm birth. This conclusion is based on a large number of pregnant patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 and a calculation of the individualized risk of preeclampsia and preterm birth for each patient based on maternal characteristics and obstetrical history. Patients with severe COVID-19 have a five-fold greater risk of preeclampsia than

asymptomatic patients. Moreover, the relative risk of developing preeclampsia in women 183 with moderate or severe COVID-19 was 3.3 fold higher than in those with 184 asymptomatic/mild infection. Of note, this estimate of relative risk was higher than the 185 1.96 estimate obtained when testing the reverse hypothesis that preeclampsia causes 186 moderate/severe COVID-19 reported by other authors.<sup>5</sup> Our findings are consistent with 187 those reported by Metz et al. in a cohort of 1,219 patients,<sup>2</sup> as well as those of a 188 systematic review and meta-analysis which found that patients with symptomatic illness 189 (OR 2.11, 95% CI 1.59-2.81) were more likely to be diagnosed with preeclampsia than 190 those who were asymptomatic (OR 1.59, 95% CI 1.21-2.10).<sup>3</sup> 191

There was a dose response relationship between the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection and the risk of spontaneous preterm birth (p<0.0001). This is consistent with other reports. We have no information about the relative contribution of medically indicated preterm birth versus spontaneous preterm birth. The fact that 43% (13/30) of the cases of preeclampsia diagnosed after SARS-Cov-2 infection were preterm preeclampsia (< 37 weeks) suggests that COVID-19 may be a cause for medically indicated preterm birth that contributes to the excess preterm birth delivery rate previously reported.<sup>6</sup>

This study was designed to examine whether the relationship between SARS-CoV-2 and preeclampsia/preterm birth is causal. Nonetheless, we observed the cases in which preeclampsia preceded infection with SARS-CoV-2. Whether preeclampsia can predispose COVID-19 some cases, or that the two conditions may co-occur because they share similar risk factors requires further investigation.<sup>5</sup>

In conclusion, we present evidence that the more severe the infection with SARS-CoV205 2, the greater the risk of preeclampsia and preterm birth. SARS-CoV-2 infection can lead

to endothelial dysfunction, intravascular inflammation, proteinuria, activation of thrombin,

and hypertension, which are all features of preeclampsia. Therefore, a causal relationship

208 must be considered.

Journal Pre-proof

## 209 **REFERENCES**

- 1. MENDOZA M, GARCIA-RUIZ I, MAIZ N, et al. Pre-eclampsia-like syndrome induced by severe COVID-19: a prospective observational study. BJOG 2020;127:1374-80.
- METZ TD, CLIFTON RG, HUGHES BL, et al. Disease Severity and Perinatal Outcomes of Pregnant Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Obstet Gynecol 2021;137:571-80.
- CONDE-AGUDELO A, ROMERO R. SARS-COV-2 infection during pregnancy and risk
   of preeclampsia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol
   2021.
- WRIGHT D, SYNGELAKI A, AKOLEKAR R, POON LC, NICOLAIDES KH. Competing risks model in screening for preeclampsia by maternal characteristics and medical history. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2015;213:62.e1-62.e10.
- PAPAGEORGHIOU AT, DERUELLE P, GUNIER RB, et al. Preeclampsia and COVID-19: results from the INTERCOVID prospective longitudinal study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021.
- 6. MARTINEZ-PEREZ O, PRATS RODRIGUEZ P, MUNER HERNANDEZ M, et al. The association between SARS-CoV-2 infection and preterm delivery: a prospective study with a multivariable analysis. BMC pregnancy and childbirth 2021;21:273.

## **Figure Legend**

**Figure 1.** Association between SARS-CoV-2 infection severity and pregnancy outcomes. A) Expected and observed rates of preeclampsia in women with SARS-CoV-2 infection. B) Observed rates of preterm birth in women with SARS-CoV-2 infection who had a live neonate. C) Gestational age at delivery in women with SARS-CoV-2 infection who had a live neonate. D) Rate of moderate, very, and extreme preterm birth as a function of the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Johngibiend

